

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/635,057	08/06/2003	Bruce M. Bathurst	013469-9001-00	1336		
23409	7590 10/26/2005		EXAMINER			
	BEST & FRIEDRICH	RAYMOND, EDWARD				
• • • • • • • •	EE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2857			
				DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Advisory Action	10/635,057	BATHURST ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward Raymond	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whichever	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow); .		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		li	+ (DTOL 224)
4: The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be 		timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii Subiriittea iii a soparate	, annony mod amonan	
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:)	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
ACCIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

13. Other: __

Edward Raymond **Primary Examiner**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner does not agree with the Applicant's argument that the IEEE Std does not teach a processor to identify the transducer type using the transducer signatures. The TEDS device being able to store information related to the type of transducer, whether unique or broad, is taught in the reference.